



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE 10/016,448 12/10/2001 Robert Thomas Bailis RPS920010128US1 5281 47052 09/20/2005 **EXAMINER** 7590 SAWYER LAW GROUP LLP BRITT, CYNTHIA H PO BOX 51418 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER PALO ALTO, CA 94303 2133

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

		TH
Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/016,448	BAILIS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Cynthia Britt	2133	

	Cyntina Britt	2100		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 06 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN				
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri pinally set in the final Offi ate of the final rejection, o	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as person if timely filed,	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since	
	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	ow);			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			Protection	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)):	Almost 60		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	⋈ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	iii de entered and an i	expianation of	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a (1).	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)		
-				

HC

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument from page 9 of the present response: "In other words the I/O pins may be relevant to testing the ASIC function and/or surrounding logic in that the I/O pins are exercised by the FPGA function, but the I/O pins are clearly not required "to access internal signals" for observation and control." This statement seems to solidify examiners argument in the final rejection in regard to the 35 USC 112 second paragraph issues. The negative limitation in the independent claims 'without requiring the input/output pins to access the internal signals' would at this point seem completley optional based on the presnt arguments, and would therefore add no patentable weight to the claims. Therefore this response does not further clarify the issues for appeal and will not be entered.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100